

CanCore Guidelines

for the Implementation of Learning Object Metadata (IEEE 1484.12.1-2002) VERSION 2.0

Dr. Norm Friesen, Sue Fisher, and Anthony Roberts

CanCore Guidelines 2.0

Available online at no cost: http://www.cancore.org

By Dr. Norm Friesen, Sue Fisher, and Anthony Roberts

Published in 2004 by



1 University Drive, Athabasca, Alberta T9S 3A3

Project Leaders Dr. Terry Anderson, Athabasca University

Dr. Alan Burke, Electronic Text Centre, University of New Brunswick

Dr. Rory McGreal, Athabasca University

Special Thanks to Mary DaCosta, Industry Canada

Cliff Groen, Industry Canada Yuri Daschko, Industry Canada

Doug McLeod, Netera Jamie Rossiter, CANARIE



All original material in this work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution License. To view a copy of this License, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/1.0/ or send a letter to Creative Commons, 559 Nathan Abbott Way, Stanford, California 94305, USA.

ISBN: 0-919737-60-9



The development and publication of this work was generously supported by the following organizations:

$C\Lambda N\Lambda RIE$















CanCore gratefully acknowledges the valuable contributions of the following implementers and implementing organizations:

Deb Fralick, Carolyn Guinchard, Kimberly Frail, and Susan Schroeder; Alberta Learning

Chris Hubick, Scott Habkirk, and Susan Hesemeier; Athabasca University

Mark Hawkes; British Columbia Ministry of Education

Roger St. Pierre and Peter Hope; Canadian Department of National Defence

Karin Lundgre, Louis Guerette, and Pierre Bernard; Centre de recherche LICEF

Lorna Campbell and Phil Barker; Centre for Educational Technology Interoperability Standards

Sandra Mitchell; Department of Education/Ministère de l'Éducation, New Brunswick

Solvig Norman; EduSpecs Technical Liaison Office

Steve Sarapata, Janet Kahkonen Smith, Judy Ridgway, and Kimberly S. Roempler; Eisenhower National Clearinghouse

Sue Fisher and Lori Tozer; Electronic Text Centre, University of New Brunswick

R.J. Galbraith; Galbraith Media

Erik Duval; Katholieke Universiteit Leuven

Gerry Graham; Learning and Teaching Scotland

Barbara Shuh, Library and Archives Canada

Dave Gillies and Bruce Backhouse; Manitoba Education and Youth

Rick Collins; Memorial University of Newfoundland

Simon Loban; Ontario Ministry of Education

Gerry Paille, Lorna McAdam, and Janet Bartz; Open Learning Agency

Markiana Eliuk; Slavic Studies Program, University of Alberta

Nancy Parsons-Heath; Stem~Net

Brian Lamb; University of British Columbia

Jim Sibley; Centre for Instructional Support, University of British Columbia

Michael Magee; University of Calgary

Foreword

Notes from the CanCore Project Leader Rory McGreal, Associate Vice-President, Research Athabasca University

September 2003

This CanCore Guidelines document is the result of more than ten years of experience in the implementation of metadata in e-learning. In 1993, TeleEducation New Brunswick created what we believe to have been the first World Wide Web site focusing on distance learning. Early in 1994, TeleEducation NB began listing online courses as they became available on the Internet. By 1995, there were enough courses online to justify the creation of a database focusing on these course offerings—The TeleCampus (http://telecampus.edu). It was at this time that the importance of metadata became apparent to us. The Dublin Core metadata specification was just being developed and so we followed their minimalist approach. In 1996, the first version of the IMS Meta-data specification for learning resources appeared, and we used their fields to expand the metadata used for the TeleCampus. We believe this was the first attempt to implement the IMS specification.

However, in implementing these specifications, we ran into a common problem, which was also discovered at around the same time by the Open Learning Agency in British Columbia (OLA BC). When more than one person implements or creates metadata, different understandings of the meaning and divergent ways of inputting data into the individual metadata fields typically occur. Within TeleEducation NB, trying to achieve common understandings of precisely what and how data should be entered into the different fields prompted numerous arguments. We felt that if the structure, format, and vocabulary of the data included in the metadata fields were implemented consistently—and according to best practices already established in the library world—then the utility, longevity, and reusability of the database records would be greatly increased. We also felt that if other organizations implemented their metadata in the same manner, the resulting records would be more useful and interoperable: easier to create, search, and exchange. One can drive on the left side of the road or on the right side. However, if everyone going in the same direction drives on the same side, driving is both easier and safer. Dave Porter (formerly of the OLA BC) put it this way: "We don't care what rule you make, just make it and tell us!" They too valued data consistency across multiple metadata repositories. Like us, they were concerned about avoiding "forking."

This was the beginning of CanCore. The initial objective was to create recommendations for the implementation of the fields in the IMS Meta-data specification. When this datamodel was standardized as the IEEE LOM standard, CanCore revised and updated these recommendations, based on the input of experts and implementers from around the world. Thanks to a grant from CANARIE, Canada's advanced Internet development organization, a partnership

was formed as a collaboration between two projects: POOL (Portal for Online Objects in Learning) and BELLE (Broadband Enabled Lifelong Learning Environments). Our goal was to create a common guidebook.

This original CanCore team was made up of librarians and implementers from TeleEducation NB, the University of New Brunswick's Electronic Text Centre, and experts from Netera and the Universities of Alberta and Calgary who created the Campus Alberta Repository of Educational Objects (CAREO). With the move of Terry Anderson, Norm Friesen, and myself to Athabasca University (AU), and a new grant from CANARIE under the eduSource project, the CanCore lead was transferred to AU.

The increased interoperability arising from the implementation of the CanCore application profile becomes even more valuable as we move towards the next generation of the WWW: the semantic Web. The semantic Web will empower computer applications that include intelligent agents to derive meaning from the data. This will be greatly aided by data and metadata that is consistently and carefully created— some of which I hope will be created by these agents. With the continued explosion of information available on the Net, the need for tools and techniques to search, filter, and harvest this information increases.

If you are considering implementing the IEEE LOM or any other set of metadata to describe your online educational content, I strongly recommend that you consider using this book as a guide. This will simplify your own efforts, promote internal consistency, and increase semantic compatibility with the many others around the world who are using CanCore.

All the best in your work.

Dr. Rory McGreal

Table of Contents

Introduction	0-1
CanCore Guidelines Overview	0-1
Definitions: Metadata, Learning Objects, the LOM	0-2
What Is CanCore?	0-2
CanCore's Guidelines Document	0-12
Characteristics of the LOM/CanCore Datamodel	0-14
LOM and Translation	0-21
Guidelines Document Organization	0-18
General Indexing Best Practices	0-22
Referenced Documents and Specifications	0-2
Bibliography	0-23
General Category	1-1
General	1-2
Identifier	1-5
Catalog	1-
Entry	1-10
Title	1-11
Language	1-15
Description	1-17
Keyword	1-19
Coverage	1-20
Structure	1-22
Aggregation Level	1-24
Life Cycle Category	2-1
Life Cycle	2-2
Version	2-5
Status	2-7
Contribute	2-9
Role	2-13
Entity	2-16
Date	2-19
Meta-Metadata Category	3-1
Meta-Metadata	3-2
Identifier	3-4
Catalog	3-6
Entry	3-8
Contribute	3-9
Role	3-11
Entity	3-12
Date	3-14
Metadata Schema	3-16
Language	3-17

Technical Category	4-1
Technical	4-2
Format	4-6
Size	4-8
Location	4-10
Requirement	4-11
OrComposite	4-14
Type	4-15
Name	4-16
Minimum Version	4-17
Maximum Version	4-18
Installation Remarks	4-19
Other Platform Requirements	4-20
Duration	4-21
Educational Category	5-1
Educational	5-2
Interactivity Type	5-3
Learning Resource Type	5-5
Interactivity Level	5-6
Semantic Density	5-11
Intended End User Role	5-12
Context	5-13
Typical Age Range	5-15
Difficulty	5-17
Typical Learning Time	5-18
Description	5-19
Language	5-20
Rights Category	6-1
Rights	6-2
Cost	6-4
Copyright and Other Restrictions	6-5
Description	6-6
Relation Category	7-1
Relation	7-2
Kind	7-5
Resource	7-7
Identifier	7-9
Catalog	7-11
Entry	7-14
Description	7-15

Annotation Category	8-1
Annotation	8-2
Entity	8-4
Date	8-7
Description	8-10
Classification Category	9-1
Classification	9-2
Purpose	9-6
Taxon Path	9-9
Source	9-14
Taxon	9-17
ld	9-19
Entry	9-20
Description	9-21
Keyword	9-22
Appendix A: Learning Resource Type Vocabularies	A-1
Appendix B: Dewey Decimal Classification	B-1

